Viscosity of the Tributyl Phosphate + Methyl Isobutyl Ketone + Phosphoric Acid System

Meiying Huang,^{†,‡} Benhe Zhong,[†] and Jun Li^{*,†}

Department of Chemical Engineering of SiChuan University, Sichuan Chengdu, China, and ChongQing Three Gorges University, Chongqing Wanzhou, China

In this paper, kinetic viscosities of the phosphoric acid + tributyl phosphate + methyl isobutyl ketone, and + aviation kerosene systems with the content of P_2O_5 in the range of (0 to 17) % and temperature from (10 to 50) °C were presented. After data processing, kinetic viscosities were found well-correlated with temperature and concentration of P_2O_5 , which can be demonstrated by linear regression equations. According to those equations, kinetic viscosities of the phosphoric acid + tributyl phosphate + methyl isobutyl ketone were compared with those of phosphoric acid + tributyl phosphate + aviation kerosene systems. The results showed that the dilution effect of methyl isobutyl ketone was better than that of aviation kerosene under the same temperature and concentration of P_2O_5 . In addition, the concentration of P_2O_5 in the tributyl phosphate + aviation kerosene system + methyl isobutyl ketone system can be higher than that in the tributyl phosphate + aviation kerosene system system without any three-phase situation. So, there are many advantages for purifying wet-process phosphoric acid by solvent extraction with tributyl phosphate + methyl isobutyl ketone.

Introduction

One method used to obtain pure phosphoric acid is extracting wet-process phosphoric acid (WPA) with organic solvents. Many organic solvents can be used to purify WPA. As an example, methyl isobutyl ketone (MIBK) has already been widely used.¹⁻⁴ The use of tributyl phosphate (TBP) has also been reported.⁵⁻¹¹ Both solvents are attractive because of their immiscibility with aqueous solutions, good selectivity to phosphoric acid. and easy recovery. The extraction of phosphoric acid with MIBK can be carried out at room temperature, but the process will be effective only when the mass fraction of P_2O_5 is larger than 43.47 %.¹² TBP is often diluted by using kerosene at higher temperature because of its high viscosity. TBP has a better selectivity in phosphoric acid extraction than MIBK. However, the mass fraction of P2O5 in the organic phase can not be too high or three phases will appear.¹³ Three phases do not occur in the TBP + MIBK mixture. Ahmed Hannachi et al.¹⁴ have studied the mixture of TBP + MIBK and shown that the mixture extractant has good selectivity for phosphoric acid. However, the physicochemical properties of TBP + MIBK have not been reported. Therefore, the kinetic viscosities of phosphoric acid (PA) + TBP + MIBK in this work should be helpful for developing the corresponding extraction technology.

Experimental Section

Materials. TBP, MIBK, and PA obtained from Chengdu Kelong Chemical Reagent Co. were all analytical grade. Their purity is above 98.5 %, 99.0 %, and 61.58 % in P_2O_5 mass fraction. Aviation kerosene (AK) was supplied by Henan Cangzhou Canglian Special Oil Co. Ltd. Its total efflux is 98 % at the distillation range where the initial boiling point is 233



Figure 1. Coefficients *a* and *b* in eq 3 versus temperature *t* for mixtures of TBP + MIBK, and + AK. \blacksquare , a_A , b_A for mixtures of A ($V_{\text{TBP}}/V_{\text{MIBK}} = 8/2$); \diamondsuit , a_B , b_B for mixtures of B ($V_{\text{TBP}}/V_{\text{MIBK}} = 7/3$); \blacktriangle , a_C , b_C for mixtures of C ($V_{\text{TBP}}/V_{\text{MIBK}} = 6/4$); \triangle , a_D , b_D for mixtures of D ($V_{\text{TBP}}/V_{\text{MIBK}} = 7/3$) by eq 3.

Table 1.	Viscosity	η of	Pure	Tributyl	Phosphate	and	Methyl
Isobutyl	Ketone at	25 °C	\mathbb{C}^a				

pure matter	$\eta_{\text{exptl}}/(\text{mPa} \cdot s)$	$\eta_{\rm lit.}/({\rm mPa} \cdot {\rm s})$	100 Δ
tributyl phosphate	3.45	3.41 ¹⁷	1.2
methyl isobutyl ketone	0.56	0.542 ¹⁸	3.7

^{*a*} Note: 100 $\Delta = (\eta_{\text{exptl}} - \eta_{\text{lit.}})/\eta_{\text{lit.}} \cdot 100.$

 $^{\circ}\text{C}$ and the dry point is 256 $^{\circ}\text{C}.$ The viscosities of pure TBP and MIBK are listed in Table 1.

Apparatus and Procedure. P_2O_5 content was determined by volumetric titration with NaOH using bromocresel green and phenolphthalein indicators with an uncertainty of ± 0.2 %.

The density was measured with an Ostwald–Sprenge-type pycnometers having a bulb volume of 25 cm³ and an internal capillary diameter of about 1 mm. The internal volumes of the pycnometers were calibrated with pure water at each of the measured temperatures, and the densities of water were taken from the literature.¹⁵ The thoroughly cleaned and dried pyc-

^{*} Corresponding author. E-mail address: lijun@email.scu.edu.cn. Tel.: 86-28-85460936. Fax: 86-28-85460936.

[†] Sichuan Chengdu.

^{*} ChongQing Three Gorges University.

Table 2.	Values o	f Kinetic V	iscosity w	and De	nsity $ ho$ of]	Mixtures A ,	B, C and	D as a H	unction of	f Mass Fra	ction of w	1 of P2O5	at Differe	nt Temper	ratures ^a					
		t = 10	°C			t = 20	°C			t = 30	°C			t = 40	°C			t = 50	°C	
$100 w_1$	$\rho_{\mathrm{exptl.}}$ $\mathrm{g} \cdot \mathrm{cm}^{-3}$	$\frac{v_{\mathrm{exptl}}}{\mathrm{mm}^2 \cdot \mathrm{s}^{-1}}$	ln v _{exptl}	100Δ	$\rho_{\text{exptl.}}$ g•cm ⁻³	$\frac{v_{\text{exptl}}}{\text{mm}^2 \cdot \text{s}^{-1}}$	$\ln v_{\mathrm{exptl}}$	100 Δ	$ ho_{\mathrm{exptl.}}$ $\mathrm{g} \cdot \mathrm{cm}^{-3}$	$\frac{v_{\rm exptl}}{\rm mm^2 \cdot s^{-1}}$	$\ln v_{\rm exptl}$	100Δ	$\rho_{\mathrm{exptl.}}$	$\frac{v_{\mathrm{exptl}}}{\mathrm{mm}^2 \cdot \mathrm{s}^{-1}}$	ln v _{expt1}	100Δ	$\rho_{\mathrm{exptl.}}$	$\frac{v_{\mathrm{exptl}}}{\mathrm{mm}^2 \cdot \mathrm{s}^{-1}}$	$\ln v_{\rm exptl}$	100 Δ
000	0 9485	3 12	1 14	6 U -	0 9418	250	0 94	¢ 0	0 0353	A 2 07	0.73	<i>د</i> د	0 9290	1 68	0 57	7 1	0,9279	1 45	0 37	- c- 8 c-
1.20	0.9560	3.77	1.33	6.0	0.9502	3.02	1.10	2.7	0.9432	2.41	0.88	2.2	0.9350	1.95	0.67	2.8	0.9256	1.72	0.54	-3.5
3.26	0.9680	5.19	1.65	3.4	0.9642	4.09	1.41	4.4	0.9592	3.20	1.16	6.7	0.9530	2.52	0.92	9.9	0.9456	2.16	0.77	2.9
5.62	0.9943	8.99	2.20	-3.5	0.9868	6.87	1.93	-3.6	0.9803	5.18	1.65	-2.7	0.9748	3.93	1.37	-1.4	0.9703	3.28	1.19	-7.3
8.13	1.0074	13.69	2.62	-2.1	0.9996	10.12	2.31	-1.9	0.9926	7.36	2.00	-0.6	0.9864	5.39	1.68	1.2	0.9810	4.47	1.50	-4.5
12.41	1.0496	30.19	3.41	-2.6	1.0366	21.37	3.06	-3.0	1.0256	14.70	2.69	-2.0	1.0166	10.16	2.32	-0.4	1.0096	8.22	2.11	-5.4
17.45	1.0939	63.49	4.15	1.4	1.0854	42.47	3.75	1.3	1.0779	27.12	3.30	3.1	1.0714	17.49	2.86	5.6	1.0659	14.35	2.66	-0.4
										В										
0.00	0.9260	2.56	0.94	-4.9	0.9218	2.10	0.74	-3.1	0.9168	1.72	0.54	0.3	0.9110	1.41	0.34	7.3	0.9044	1.24	0.22	-9.2
1.15	0.9354	2.96	1.09	0.4	0.9302	2.43	0.89	1.7	0.9242	1.98	0.68	4.3	0.9174	1.62	0.48	9.1	0.9098	1.42	0.35	-2.5
3.34	0.9512	4.17	1.43	2.6	0.9463	3.35	1.21	3.4	0.9408	2.67	0.98	5.3	0.9347	2.13	0.76	8.7	0.9280	1.84	0.61	0.9
6.22	0.9709	7.04	1.95	0.5	0.9670	5.45	1.70	0.7	0.9625	4.19	1.43	1.8	0.9574	3.24	1.18	3.3	0.9517	2.77	1.02	-4.0
8.97	0.9920	12.03	2.49	-2.2	0.9882	9.02	2.20	-2.6	0.9832	6.67	1.90	-1.8	0.9770	4.94	1.60	-0.5	0.9696	4.09	1.41	-6.0
12.67	1.0219	22.97	3.13	-2.1	1.0187	16.48	2.80	-2.5	1.0139	11.57	2.45	-1.7	1.0075	8.22	2.11	-0.7	0.9995	6.81	1.92	-6.7
16.99	1.0663	42.57	3.75	1.6	1.0570	29.75	3.39	0.7	1.0515	19.89	2.99	1.7	1.0476	13.01	2.57	4.4	1.0446	9.73	2.28	2.5
										U										
0.00	0.9150	2.15	0.76	-6.0	0.9089	1.78	0.58	-4.2	0.9034	1.47	0.39	-1.2	0.8985	1.23	0.21	5.1	0.8942	1.10	0.09	-14.5
0.90	0.9203	2.39	0.87	-1.0	0.9169	1.99	0.69	0.1	0.9119	1.65	0.50	2.5	0.9053	1.36	0.31	8.6	0.8971	1.20	0.18	-12.0
3.18	0.9369	3.28	1.19	3.9	0.9320	2.68	0.98	4.8	0.9273	2.17	0.78	7.0	0.9228	1.76	0.57	11.5	0.9183	1.53	0.42	2.6
6.22	0.9626	5.59	1.72	0.4	0.9575	4.39	1.48	0.8	0.9522	3.42	1.23	2.2	0.9467	2.68	0.99	4.3	0.9412	2.29	0.83	-3.0
8.14	0.9785	7.87	2.06	-1.1	0.9717	6.04	1.80	-1.0	0.9657	4.59	1.52	0.2	0.9605	3.51	1.26	1.9	0.9561	2.97	1.09	-4.6
12.49	1.0110	18.07	2.89	-5.1	1.0061	12.51	2.53	-3.5	1.0014	8.50	2.14	-0.1	0.9967	6.05	1.80	2.7	0.9922	5.40	1.69	-7.2
17.39	1.0554	33.28	3.51	1.1	1.0483	23.30	3.15	0.0	1.0418	15.83	2.76	2.3	1.0359	10.88	2.39	4.2	1.0306	8.93	2.19	-1.3
										D										
0.00	0.9275	3.82	1.34	-1.9	0.9222	3.09	1.13	-1.8	0.9173	2.48	0.91	-1.0	0.9127	1.98	0.68	1.1	0.9083	1.69	0.53	-7.9
1.65	0.9379	4.98	1.61	-0.8	0.9334	3.94	1.37	-0.4	0.9286	3.09	1.13	1.0	0.9236	2.42	0.89	3.3	0.9187	2.06	0.72	-4.3
3.04	0.9476	6.13	1.81	0.8	0.9430	4.75	1.56	1.6	0.9381	3.65	1.29	3.6	0.9329	2.82	1.04	6.2	0.9278	2.40	0.87	-1.1
4.58	0.9592	8.18	2.10	-0.7	0.9536	6.25	1.83	-0.5	0.9485	4.71	1.55	1.0	0.9431	3.56	1.27	3.0	0.9382	2.99	1.10	-3.5
6.04	0.9710	10.58	2.36	-1.1	0.9636	7.95	2.07	-1.0	0.9582	5.88	1.77	0.3	0.9529	4.37	1.47	2.2	0.9483	3.62	1.29	-3.5
7.90	0.9874	14.36	2.66	-0.7	0.9765	10.54	2.35	-0.5	0.9706	7.59	2.03	1.0	0.9655	5.52	1.71	2.9	0.9616	4.58	1.52	-3.1
9.30	1.0006	18.25	2.90	-0.8	0.9863	13.17	2.58	-0.6	0.9797	9.31	2.23	0.0	0.9749	6.65	1.89	2.7	0.9720	5.51	1.71	-3.4
^a Note	: (1) 100 A	$v = (\ln w)$. – ln <i>v</i>	<i>′′</i> ul/(•100: (2)	A. B. C. at	d D are th	ne mixture	s of PA +	TBP + M	IBK (V _{rnr}	/V, =	= 8/2. 7/3. 0	5/4) and P	A + TBP -	+ AK (V	=//	7/3).		
	- ^ ~ ~ / • / •	exp.	1 'ca	cd/r ' ex	jer • v v v i ing						191	V MIBK	() ()		-		TBP" ' AK			

2030 Journal of Chemical & Engineering Data, Vol. 53, No. 9, 2008

Table 3. Coefficients *a* and *b* and Standard Deviations σ in Equation 3 at Different Temperatures

	temperature, °C									
coefficients in eq 3	10	20	30	40	50					
		А								
a _A	17.675	16.545	15.158	13.808	13.379					
bA	1.1412	0.9354	0.7309	0.5293	0.3870					
σ	0.066	0.065	0.065	0.064	0.051					
		В								
a _B	17.059	16.038	14.781	13.454	12.621					
$b_{\rm B}^{\rm D}$	0.9085	0.7160	0.5263	0.3421	0.2241					
σ	0.052	0.046	0.042	0.047	0.067					
		С								
$a_{\rm C}$	16.356	15.202	13.878	12.703	12.399					
b_{C}^{C}	0.7291	0.5503	0.3735	0.1960	0.0718					
σ	0.071	0.048	0.028	0.022	0.041					
		D								
$a_{\rm D}$	16.940	15.740	14.380	13.164	12.793					
$b_{\rm D}^{\rm D}$	1.3261	1.1112	0.8909	0.6678	0.5101					
σ	0.015	0.017	0.018	0.016	0.013					

Table 4. Coefficients k, l, m, and n and Standard Deviations σ in Equation 4 and Equation 5^a

	e	q 4		eq	5		
mixture	k	l	σ	т	п	σ	
А	19.001	-0.0074	0.258	-0.0191	1.3191	0.023	
В	18.568	-0.0078	0.148	-0.0174	1.0662	0.027	
С	17.483	-0.0073	0.283	-0.0167	0.8848	0.020	
D	18.132	-0.0074	0.269	-0.0208	1.5238	0.022	

^{*a*} Note: A, B, C, and D are the mixtures of PA + TBP + MIBK $(V_{\text{TBP}}/V_{\text{MIBK}} = 8/2, 7/3, 6/4)$ and PA + TBP + AK $(V_{\text{TBP}}/V_{\text{AK}} = 7/3)$.

nometers were first weighed on an electronic balance (type AR1140, USA. Ohaus Corps.) with precision within \pm 0.0001 g and then filled with experimental liquid and immersed in a thermostat (type 501, Shanghai Laboratory Instrument Works Co., Ltd.) with constant temperature (\pm 0.1 °C). After thermal equilibrium had been achieved at the required temperature, the pycnometers were removed from the thermostat and properly cleaned, dried, and weighed. The density was then determined from the mass of the sample and the volume of the pycnometers. The readings from pycnometers were estimated to be within \pm 0.0001 g·cm⁻³.

The viscosity η was measured using a commercial Ostwald capillary viscometer (type1831-1, Shanghai Glass Instruments Factory, China) of 0.7 mm in diameter, calibrated at (10, 20, 30, 40, and 50) °C with doubly distilled water. A thoroughly cleaned and dried viscometer, filled with experimental liquid, was placed vertically in an insulated aquarium where constant temperature (\pm 0.1 °C) was maintained by circulating water from a thermostatically controlled water bath at the required

temperature. After thermal stability was attained, the flow times of the liquids were recorded with an electronic digital stop watch with a precision of \pm 0.01 s. At least three repetitions of each datum point obtained were reproducible to \pm 0.05 s, and the results were averaged. The viscosity η of the liquids was calculated¹⁶ using

$$\frac{\eta}{\eta_{\rm w}} = \frac{\rho t}{\rho_{\rm w} t_{\rm w}} \tag{1}$$

and the kinetic viscosity v was calculated from the following relationship

$$v = \frac{\eta}{\rho} \tag{2}$$

where η , ρ , and t and η_w , ρ_w , and t_w are the viscositiy, density, and flow time of the mixtures and water, respectively. The values of the viscosity and density of pure water are collected from the literature.¹⁵ The uncertainty of the kinetic viscosity is $\pm 0.01 \text{ mm}^2 \cdot \text{s}^{-1}$.

Results and Discussion

This paper presents data on the kinetic viscosity of mixtures of PA + TBP + MIBK, and + AK as follows

Mixture A: PA + TBP + MIBK
$$(V_{TBP}/V_{MIBK} = 8/2)$$

Mixture B: PA + TBP + MIBK $(V_{TBP}/V_{MIBK} = 7/3)$
Mixture C: PA + TBP + MIBK $(V_{TBP}/V_{MIBK} = 6/4)$
Mixture D: PA + TBP + AK $(V_{TBP}/V_{AK} = 7/3)$

where V_{TBP} , V_{MIBK} , and V_{AK} are the volume of TBP, MIBK, and AK.

The experimental kinetic viscosities and densities for all experimental mixtures at all temperature are listed in Table 2.

A graphical analysis and mathematical processing of the data are shown in Table 2. In this table, v is logarithmic. It can be represented as

$$\ln(v/\mathrm{mm}^2 \cdot \mathrm{s}^{-1}) = aw + b \tag{3}$$

where *w* is the mass fraction of P_2O_5 and *a*, and *b* are coefficients of eq 3.

Table 3 lists values of coefficients a and b for each mixture at different temperatures. The data in Table 3 were used to plot the temperature dependence on coefficients a and b (see Figure 1) for mixtures TBP + MIBK, and + AK. It is worthy to note that the temperature dependence on coefficients is similar among all four mixtures. Coefficient a can be described as

$$a = k \exp(lt / {^{\circ}}\mathrm{C}) \tag{4}$$

and b as

Table 5. Kinetic Viscosities v Calculated by Equation 7, Equation 8, and Equation 10 for Mixtures A, B, and D at Different Temperature and Mass Fraction of P_2O_5

		$t = 10 ^{\circ}\mathrm{C}$	1		t = 20 °C			$t = 30 ^{\circ}\mathrm{C}$	1	1	$= 40 \ ^{\circ}\mathrm{C}$		t	$= 50 \ ^{\circ}C$	
$100 w_1$	А	В	D	А	В	D	А	В	D	А	В	D	А	В	D
0	3.09	2.44	3.73	2.55	2.05	3.03	2.11	1.72	2.46	1.74	1.45	2.00	1.44	1.22	1.62
1	3.69	2.90	4.41	3.01	2.40	3.54	2.46	2.00	2.84	2.01	1.66	2.29	1.64	1.38	1.84
3	5.25	4.09	6.18	4.17	3.30	4.84	3.33	2.68	3.80	2.66	2.18	2.99	2.13	1.77	2.36
5	7.47	5.76	8.65	5.79	4.54	6.62	4.51	3.59	5.08	3.53	2.86	3.92	2.77	2.28	3.03
7	10.63	8.12	12.11	8.04	6.24	9.05	6.12	4.82	6.80	4.69	3.75	5.13	3.61	2.93	3.90
9	15.12	11.45	16.97	11.16	8.57	12.37	8.30	6.47	9.09	6.22	4.92	6.72	4.69	3.77	5.01
11	21.52	16.14	23.76	15.48	11.77	16.91	11.25	8.68	12.15	8.25	6.46	8.80	6.10	4.85	6.43
13	30.63	22.76		21.49	16.17		15.25	11.64		10.94	8.47		7.93	6.24	
15	43.60	32.09		29.82	22.22		20.67	15.62		14.51	11.12		10.31	8.02	
17	62.05	45.24		41.38	30.53		28.03	20.95		19.25	14.60		13.40	10.31	

$$b = mt / ^{\circ}C + n \tag{5}$$

where t is temperature; k and l are coefficients of eq 4, and m and n are coefficients of eq 5.

Table 3 lists the standard deviations σ for each mixture at different temperature. σ was calculated using the following formula

$$\sigma = \left(\sum_{i=1}^{p} (\ln v_{\text{exptl}} - \ln v_{\text{calcd}})^2 / (p - q)\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$
(6)

where p refers to the number of data points and q is the number of coefficients.

Standard deviation σ in Table 3 is very small, which demonstrates a high goodness of fit in eq 3.

Table 4 lists the values of the coefficients in eq 4 and eq 5, together with the standard deviations σ for all four types of mixtures. Also, it shows a pretty reasonable goodness of fit in eq 4 and eq 5.

Thus, the kinetic viscosity of mixture A, B, C, and D can be calculated by the equations below

$$\ln(v_{\rm A}/\rm{mm}^{2} \cdot \rm{s}^{-1}) = 19.001 \exp(-0.0074t/^{\circ}\rm{C})w - 0.0191/^{\circ}\rm{C} + 1.3191 \ (7)$$
$$\ln(v_{\rm B}/\rm{mm}^{2} \cdot \rm{s}^{-1}) = 18.568 \exp(-0.0078t/^{\circ}\rm{C})w - 0.0174t/^{\circ}\rm{C} + 1.0662 \ (8)$$

 $\ln(v_{\rm C}/\rm{mm^2 \cdot s^{-1}}) = 18.483 \exp(-0.0073t/^{\circ}\rm{C})w - 0.0167t/^{\circ}\rm{C} + 0.8848 \ (9)$

$$\ln(v_{\rm D}/\rm{mm}^{2} \cdot \rm{s}^{-1}) = 18.132 \exp(-0.0074t/^{\circ}\rm{C})w - 0.0208t/^{\circ}\rm{C} + 1.5238 \ (10)$$

where v_A , v_B , v_C , and v_D refer to the kinetic viscosity of mixture A, B, C, and D while $V_{\text{TBP}}/V_{\text{MIBK}} = 8/2$, 7/3, 6/4 and $V_{\text{TBP}}/V_{\text{AK}} = 7/3$.

Table 2 lists the errors between experimental data and calculation data from eq 7 to eq 10.

The formulation for error Δ is shown below

$$100 \Delta = \frac{\ln v_{\text{exptl}} - \ln v_{\text{calcd}}}{\ln v_{\text{exptl}}} \cdot 100$$
(11)

where $\ln v_{calcd}$ is determined by eq 7, eq 8, eq 9, and eq 10.

Table 2 shows that the most error Δ is smaller than 10.00 %, which demonstrates a high goodness of fit in eq 7, eq 8, eq 9, and eq 10.

To compare v of mixtures A, B, and D, Table 5 list their kinetic viscosities at different temperature and concentration of P_2O_5 . Kinetic viscosities are calculated according to eq 7, eq 8 and eq 10. It is easy to see that, under the same temperature and content of P_2O_5 , the values of kinetic viscosity in those three types of mixtures decrease in the following order: $v_D > v_A > v_B$. Thus, the diluent effect of MIBK is better than that of aviation kerosene. Moreover, the mass fraction of P_2O_5 in mixtures of TBP + MIBK can be higher than that in TBP + AK according to experiments. So there are many advantages for purifying WPA by solvent extraction with TBP + MIBK.

Conclusions

The kinetic viscosities of PA + TBP + MIBK, and + AK are obtained under the temperature range from (10 to 50) $^{\circ}$ C

and $w_{p_2}o_5 = (0 \text{ to } 17) \%$, and they are found well-correlated with temperature and concentration of P_2O_5 . According to the results, the diluent effect of MIBK should be better than that of AK, and the mass fraction of P_2O_5 in the mixture of TBP + MIBK can be higher than that in TBP + AK.

Literature Cited

- Kijkowska, R.; Pawlowska-Kozinska, D.; Kowalski, Z.; Jodko, M.; Wzorek, Z. Wet-process phosphoric acid obtained from Kola apatite. Purification from sulphates, fluorine, and metals. *Sep. Purif. Technol.* 2002, 28, 197–205.
- (2) Feki, M.; Stambouli, M.; Pareau, D.; Ayedi, H. F. Study of the Multicomponent System Wet Process Phosphoric Acid - Methyl Isobutyl Ketone at 40°C Phase Equilibria and Extraction Performances. *Chem. Eng. J.* 2002, 88, 71–80.
- (3) Feki, M.; Ayedi, H. F. Purification of wet process phosphoric acid by solvent extraction with methyl isobutyl ketone: Systematic study of impurity distribution. *Sep. Sci. Technol.* **1998**, *33*, 2609–2622.
- (4) Feki, M.; Fourati, M.; Chaabouni, M. M.; Ayedi, H. F. Purification of Wet Process Phosphoric Acid by Solvent Extraction Liquid -Liquid Equilibrium at 25°C and 40°C of the System Water-Phosphoric Acid-Methyl Isobutyl Ketone. *Can. J. Chem. Eng.* **1994**, *72*, 939–944.
- (5) Huang, M. Y.; Zhong, B. H.; Chen, L.; Li, J. Studies on the wetprocess phosphoric acid purification by reciprocating plate column. *Chem. Eng. (Chi)* **2007**, *35*, 1–5.
- (6) Ziat, K.; Mesnaoui, B.; Bounahmidi, T.; Boussen, R.; Guardia, M. D. L.; Garrigues, S. Modelling of the ternary system H₃PO₄/H₂O/ TBP. *Fluid Phase Equilib.* **2002**, *201*, 259–267.
- (7) Lembrikov, V. M.; Konyakhina, L. V.; Volkova, V. V.; Lobova, M. V.; Pokidova, O. V. Interaction of Tri-n-butyl Phosphate, Water, and Phosphoric Acid in Purification of Wet-Process Phosphoric Acid. *Russ.* J. Appl. Chem. **2004**, 77, 1606–1608.
- (8) Lembrikov, V. M.; Konyakhina, L. V.; Volkova, V. V.; Perov, A. A.; Kiseleva, O. V.; Ershova, S. M. Identification of Impurities Accumulated in the Extractant in the Course of Purification of Wet-Process Phosphoric Acid with Tri-n-butyl Phosphate. *Russ. J. Appl. Chem.* 2004, 77, 1413–1417.
- (9) Dhouib-Sahnoun, R.; Feki, M.; Ayedi, H. F. Liquid–Liquid Equilibria of the Ternary System Water + Phosphoric Acid + Tributyl Phosphate at 298.15 and 323.15 K. J. Chem. Eng. Data 2002, 47, 861–866.
- (10) Lembrikov, V. M.; Konyakhina, L. V.; Volkova, V. V.; Perov, A. A.; Kiseleva, O. V.; Ershova, S. M. Properties of the Extraction System Constituted by Phosphoric Acid Produced from Khibuny Apatite and Tri-n-Butyl Phosphate. *Russ. J. Appl. Chem.* **2006**, *79*, 196–200.
- Lembrikov, V. M.; Volkova, V. V.; Konyakhina, L. V.; Korneva, Z. N.; Pokidova, O. V. A Study of Viscosity in the Extraction System Constituted by Tri-butyl Phosphate and Phosphoric Acid. *Russ. J. Appl. Chem.* 2005, 78, 1209–1212.
- (12) Feki, M.; Fourati, M.; Chaabouni, M. M.; Ayedi, H. F. Purification of Wet Process Phosphoric Acid by Solvent Extraction Liquid Equilibrium at 25 and 40 of the System Water-Phosphoric Acid-Methyl Isobutyl Ketone. *Can. J. Chem. Eng.* **1994**, *72*, 939–944.
- (13) Fu, X.; Shi, J. H.; Zhu, Y.; Hu, Z. S. Study on the Three-Phase Extraction System of TBP-KEROSENE/H₃PO₄-H₂O. *Solvent Extr. Ion Exch.* 2002, 20, 241–250.
- (14) Ahmed, H.; Diamonta, H.; Chaker, C.; Abdelhamid, R. Purification of wet process phosphoric acid by solvent extraction with TBP and MIBK mixtures. *Sep. Purif. Technol.* **2007**, *55*, 212–216.
- (15) Compilation Group of Common Chemistry Handbook. Common Chemistry Handbook; Geology Press: Beijing, 1997.
- (16) Nikam, P. S.; Jagdale, B. S.; Sawant, A. B.; Hasan, M. Densities and Viscosities of Binary Mixtures of Toluene with Methanol, Ethanol, Propan-1-ol, Butan-1-ol, Pentan-1-ol, and 2-Methylpropan-2-ol at (303.15, 308.15, 313.15) K. J. Chem. Eng. Data 2000, 45, 559–563.
- (17) Kong, D. K.; Chen, Z. B.; Xu, H. Y. *Industry Solvents Handbook*; Metallurgical Industry Press: Beijing, 1984.
 (18) Cheng, N. L.; Hu, S. W. *Solvents Handbook*, 1st ed.; Chemical
- (18) Cheng, N. L.; Hu, S. W. Solvents Handbook, 1st ed.; Chemical Engineering Press: Beijing, 1986; Vol. 1.

Received for review December 18, 2007. Accepted July 20, 2008. This work was financially supported by the New Century Excellent Talent project of the Ministry of Education of China (NCET-07-0577).

JE700746A